

Yunhong Shi¹, Yingxue Sun², Nan Wei¹, Guangxue Wu¹,
Hongying Hu¹

EFFECT OF ORGANIC CARBON ON TERTIARY DENITRIFICATION OF THE SECONDARY EFFLUENT IN BIOFILTERS PACKED WITH SUSPENDED CARRIERS

¹Tsinghua University, Guangdong, China;

²Department of Environmental Science and Engineering, Beijing Technology
and Business University, China
wu.guangxue@sz.tsinghua.edu.cn

Denitrifying biokinetics in biofilters packed with suspended carriers were evaluated under different empty bed residence times (EBRT) with ethanol or acetate as the electron donor. The two denitrifying biofilters removed nitrate ($\text{NO}_3^- - \text{N}$) effectively after only 3 – 4 days operation. At EBRT of 30; 15 and 7.5 min, the $\text{NO}_3^- - \text{N}$ removal percentage was 84; 72 and 59% in the ethanol biofilter, and was 89; 70 and 62% in the acetate biofilter, respectively. With the influent $\text{NO}_3^- - \text{N}$ loading rate ranged from 0.4 to 1.8 g/(m²·day), the $\text{NO}_3^- - \text{N}$ removal loading rate increased with increasing influent $\text{NO}_3^- - \text{N}$ loading rates, and the system was substrate limited. While when the influent nitrate loading rate was above 3 g/(m²·day), the system was biomass limited. The half-order coefficients were 0.162; 0.175 and 0.274 (mg/L)^{1/2}/min for the ethanol biofilter with the influent $\text{NO}_3^- - \text{N}$ concentration of 7.3 – 7.7 mg/L, and were 0.107; 0.165 and 0.303 (mg/L)^{1/2}/min for the acetate biofilter with the influent $\text{NO}_3^- - \text{N}$ concentration of 6.8 – 8.0 mg/L. Denitrification efficiency varied slightly during the backwashing cycle, and the effect of backwashing on the effluent turbidity was relatively large, especially for the biofilter with ethanol as the organic carbon.

Keywords: tertiary denitrification, secondary effluent, ethanol, acetate, empty bed residence times.

Introduction

Nowadays, wastewater treatment plants are facing stringent discharging standards. For example, in American, total nitrogen (TN) of below 3 mg/L and total phosphorus (TP) of below 0.3 mg/L have been set as discharging standards [1]. In addition, wastewater treatment technology has also evolved from enhanced nutrient removal (ENR) to limit of technology (LOT). The

© Yunhong Shi¹, Yingxue Sun, Nan Wei, Guangxue Wu, Hongying Hu, 2018

- [5] *Rusten B., Westrum T.* // Water Sci. Technol. – 1994. – **29**, N10/11. – P. 157 – 165.
- [6] *Taljemark K., Aspegren H., Gruvberger C.* // Proc. Water Environ. Fed. – 2004. – N16. – P. 355 – 366.
- [7] *Khanitchaidecha W., Sumino T., Kazama F.* // J. Water Res. and Protect. – 2010. – **2**, N6. – P. 527 – 531.
- [8] *Bill K.A., Bott C.B., Murthy S.N.* // Water Sci. Technol. – 2009. – **60**, N10. – P. 2647 – 2657.
- [9] *deBarbadillo C., Miller P., Ledwell S.* // Proc. Water Environ. Fed. – 2008. – N9. – P. 6603 – 6617.
- [10] *Van Rijn J., Tal Y., Barak Y.* // Appl. and Environ. Microbiol. – 1996. – **62**, N7. – P. 2615 – 2620.
- [11] *Odegaard H., Rusten B., Badin H.* // Water Sci. Technol. – 1993. – **28**, N10. – P. 351 – 359.
- [12] *Daude D., Stephenson T.* // Ibid. – 2004. – **48**, N11. – P. 251 – 257.
- [13] *Standard Methods for the Examination of Water and Wastewater.* – [19th ed.] – Washington: American Publ. Health Assoc., 1998.
- [14] *Zhou B.B., Cao J.S., Xu Z.* // Environ. Sci. and Technol. – 2009. – **22**, N3. – P. 5 – 7.
- [15] *Nyberg U., Andersson B., Aspegren H.* // Water Sci. Technol. – 1996. – **33**, N2. – P. 109 – 116.
- [16] *Constantin H., Fick M.* // Water Res. – 1997. – **31**, N3. – P. 583 – 589.
- [17] *Aspegren H., Nyberg U., Andersson B.* // Water Sci. Technol. – 1998. – **38**, N1. – P. 31 – 38.
- [18] *Holloway R., Zhao H., Rinne T.* // Proc. Water Environ. Fed. – 2008. – N13. – P. 3586 – 3601.
- [19] *Cherchi C., Onnis-Hayden A., Ei-Shawabkeh I., Gu A. Z.* // Water Environ. Res. – 2009. – **81**, N8. – P. 788 – 799.
- [20] *Martienssen M., Schops R.* // Water Res. – 1999. – **33**, N3. – P. 639 – 646.
- [21] *Betlach M.R., Tiedje J.M.* // Appl. and Environ. Microbiol. – 1981. – **42**, N6. – P. 1074 – 1084.
- [22] *Ying F.F., Wang S.Y., Ang X.Y., Peng Y.Z.* // Environ. Sci. – 2009. – **30**, N1. – P. 108 – 113.
- [23] *McCarty P.L., Beck L., Amant P.S.* // Proc. of the 24th Ind. Waste Conf. (Indiana, USA, May 6–8, 1969). – Indiana, 1969. – P. 1271 – 1285.
- [24] *Xia Q.Q., Yang X.Q., Zhang X.Y.* // China Water and Wastewater. – 2011. – **27**, N15. – P. 91 – 94.
- [25] *Harremoes P.* // J. Water Pollut. Control Fed. – 1976. – **48**, N2. – P. 377 – 388.
- [26] *Janning K.F., Harremoes P., Nielsen M.* // Water Sci. Technol. – 1995. – **32**, N8. – P. 115 – 123.

Received 04.07.2014